




How was the image sharpness?




How was the image movement?




How was the quality of sound?




How was the technical preparations?




How was the program?



Was this conference program meaningful?



Will you attend another teleconference session next time?



Feel free to write any comments you may have.

At the beginning of the session the volume of the TEMDEC microphone was high.

The sound was not ver good this time

Dear colleagues, thank you very much for the invitation. We look forward to the next conference.

Open the topics to another areas, such as colorectal cancer

Great event with excellent academic quality. Knowledge useful in our daily practice. Thanks.

The sound from Kyushu was too high, and sometimes with noise. In sometimes the sound from USP was echo.


Maybe next time we must try to make a more interactive teleconference. Time isn't enough for everybody who wants to make questions sometimes

The scientific level of the Teleconference has improved, mainly the dynamic process with interactions between centers.

The scientific level of the Teleconference has improved, maimly due to the interactions between centers.



Which is your station?



Which is your occupation?

