How was the image sharpness? (24件の回答)

- Very good: 33.3%
- Good: 62.5%
- Poor: 8.3%
- Very poor: 0%

How was the image movement? (24件の回答)

- Very smooth: 50%
- Smooth: 41.7%
- Sluggish (slow): 8.3%
- Very sluggish (very slow): 0%

How was the sound quality? (24件の回答)

- Very good: 62.5%
- Good: 29.2%
- Poor: 8.3%
- Very poor: 0%

How was the preparations? (24件の回答)

- Very easy: 62.5%
- Easy: 12.5%
- Time-consuming: 25%
- Very time-consuming: 0%
How was the program? (24 参与的回答)

- Very good: 66.7%
- Good: 33.3%
- Poor: 0%
- Very poor: 0%

Will you attend another teleconference session next time? (24 参与的回答)

- Yes: 95.8%
- Probably yes: 0%
- Probably no: 0%
- No: 0%

If you found any problems in teleconference, please write any comments you may have.
(4 参与的回答)

One Dr from Javeriana couldn’t access by streaming, the pin number didn’t work. The volume level from Osaka was very low and we couldn’t hear.

Microphone problem but I solved it in the first 5 minutes.

I could hardly hear the Osaka station. After teleconference INCA station said my image was freezed, but I didn’t notice that, since I couldn’t see my own image.

INCA still has problems with sound and image, although it has improved in relation to the last conference. I agree with statements about low detection and few EsD cases consequently mainly in public hospital. All centers (TEMDEC) involved should devise ideas to modify this profile, creation reference centers with some support of Japan. Congratulations.