The 2nd Nepal Telemedicine Workshop

March 14, 2019
About the program

- good: 7
- very good: 21
1-1. What was good about the program?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Telemedicine in recent status in Nepal.</td>
<td>Information delivered was good. Technological approach to remote areas and benefits of telemedicine for healthcare was impressive. Need some update on a regular basis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The presentation and the speaker</td>
<td>It covers all the issues regarding Telemedicine whether it is located on hilly area or city area. This kind of program helps to connect people from different areas. They will share their ideas unknowingly which will be very beneficial to Technical Person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program was well managed. Content was good. The speaker were good</td>
<td>Good to know Telemedicine is used in various sectors in Nepal. Health sector for patient care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The equilibrium in technical and medical part with experts</td>
<td>Socializing with doctors and engineers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1-1. What was good about the program?

The topic that has been raised and the way of discussion was good. Especially with the limitation of the current work flow was natural.

Exercise on policy making draft preparation

The management was good. The organizers and chairperson of each session tried their best to run the program as per schedule. The content of presentation covered almost all aspect of telemedicine from its basic steps to its use in modern day life.

Many participants from various fields

common platform for doctors and engineers

Engineering session & institutional update

participants looked enthusiastic and passionate. Remote participation from Japan and Myagi was interesting. Newer gadgets and innovative idea and works sharing in the conference brought up newer hopes and commitment in Telemedicine.

About the program, the good part was all the senior doctors are having positive attitude towards attending tele conference and showed their interest in helping other medical personnel in remote part.

Participation, presentation and knowledge building
1-1. What was good about the program?

- The program was very helpful for the remote area where the Doctor can treat the patient through the communication.
- Exposure to different ideas regarding how telemedicine can be used and is being used around the world.

ELECTRONIC DATA ENTRY SOFTWARE, POTENTIALITY OF HOME CARE TELE NURSING
1-2. What was bad about the program?

- Not included most of the speakers who actually have to train in telemedicine
- Very short. Please do a 2 or 3-day workshops next time.
- I guess the venue was too small.
- Real time connection between partner organization (Remote Area) will be make this program more beautiful. Except this everything will going well.
- Limited discussion on the future of Telemedicine.
- Not incorporated discussion on new technologies.
- The venue was small.
- Short time and government official presence would put the essence and would have given us the way to align with government plan as well.
- No travel allowance for the participants coming from outside of the valley.

nothing bad
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Absence of core members from ministry to listen/give feedback on the importance of telemedicine in the country.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Some presenters were not punctual for their slot.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not reviewing abstract &amp; presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There were occasions when presentation were off the track from telemedicine and program was behind the scheduled time. The time allocated for group discussion wasn’t enough thorough interaction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drawbacks, challenges and problems with Telemedicine in Nepal were not much discussed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The representatives from ministry were not there. No workshop for participants except listening to speakers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No any things</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1-2. What was bad about the program?

In my opinion nothing bad about the program.

Some presentations were little too technical.

ESTABLISHING THE TELEMEDICINE IN NEPAL
Was there anything else that you wanted to learn?

- Success of telemedicine in the region ...
- Cybersecurity in digital health
- More experience sharing, please.
- It's better to add more presentation about practical Telemedicine in Nepal

- Discussion on Hospital Information Management system, health informatics
- Live Device Setup to remote area.
- Nothing as such

- Contents were sufficient for the telemedicine
  - About possible integration of blockchain in Telemedicine. Distributed architecture for the data repository.
  - Yes, I would like to learn the interoperability and standardization with the multiple system. A very good topic was raised by Dr. Subash about the integration of Telemedicine with EHR and Insurance system our ultimate goal is to maintain the policy.
Was there anything else that you wanted to learn?

- How to make Telemedicine more accessible in remotes?
  Presentation on Initiation of Telemedicine in resource limited settings without proper internet and communication facilitess would have been helpful as most presentation were on expanding horizon of well established telemedicine service.

- Yes. I would like to learn the medical and internet situation in Nepal.

- Technology for patient care in telemedicine & policy/guideline
  newer gadgets, mobile software for easier and more effective use of Telemedicine.

- Yes, I wanted to learn how to connect all the devices for telemedicine.
  Short time it was insufficient for me.

- Innovations in telemedicine

- I wanted to learn more about point-of-care, real-time consultation solutions.
Was there anything else that you wanted to learn?

Unattended of the Government representative and involvement of government
About the term: 2nd Nepal Telemedicine Workshop

- adequate (good): 16
- short: 1
- long: 4
Do you have any topics that you would like us to cover in the future workshop?

- Hospital IT Management
- Rural Telemedicine
- Future in telemedicine
  - Cybersecurity
  - How to run
  - Successful telemedicine Law in digital health
- Implementation Telemedicine in rural area and its positive effect to the rural patient.
- Benefits and drawbacks of the telemedicine in near future
- Blockchain
  - Patient Center (Patient View), whether they will be benefited or not. Or they face problems on follow-up or anything.
  - Yes, standardisation, ICT education for medical professionals. Government intake in Health IT and vision besides IT policy
- nothing
Do you have any topics that you would like us to cover in the future workshop?

- **Topic - Collaborative telemedicine in remote**
  - No idea
  - Technology session
  - Solutions for challenges and hassles in implementing Telemedicine showcasing of newer gadgets and apps

- **International and national policies; innovative tools and technology**
  - In future workshop the topics about services of patient which is given by the Doctor is necessary to cover.
  - Connecting all the tele networking system together for cheaper delivery of telemedicine
  - How to set up and maintain low cost telemedicine unit in resources poor rural hospital?

- **Short cme about telemedicine and it's setup in rural setting.**
Do you have any topics that you would like us to cover in the future workshop?

- progress report and national strategies for development of telemedicine
Do you want to recommend this workshop to others?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feedback</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>not now</td>
<td>Sure will do it and send</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venue was good, environment was a bit unfriendly at a moment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Its going smoothly.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The workshop was very informative.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the workshop was managed well</td>
<td>Group discussion created a very good platform to put the people in front. If I were to keep the follow-up on every monthly meeting and discuss in ongoing basis rather than putting all people in Workshop only. Like regular follow-up and solution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>was a good management in topics, however many abstract/topics were similar.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please feel free to write down any other things you noticed during the workshop

**Engagement of MOH**

Though it is focused to remote part of Nepal, with all the current problems in Nepal it is mostly focused on central part. The possibility of telemedicine is still difficult in remote site.

**Management**

- Good. Speakers - diverse with different idea and experiences
- Content - mostly covered all aspect of telemedicine.

**Workshop**

- It is necessary that in another workshop time period for one day is insufficient so extend time.

**People**

- Talking more but working less in Telemedicine. Telemedicine society and related people and organizations should come up with newer program and activities to promote and support others

**Others**

- Some presenters were not on time. Some presentation topics I was not sure really fell under Telemedicine.
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